

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/886,659	BROWN, DAVID A	
	Examiner KRISTIE D SHINGLES	Art Unit 2444	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Final Rejection

(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Jeff Armstrong, Atty.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: September 9-15/09**Time:** 3:00pm**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***none***Claims discussed:**

26, 27 and 44

Prior art documents discussed:

USPN: 5627601, 6392831, 6496877 and 7584112

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner contacted Atty to suggest amending independent claims with subject matter from claim 27 and cancelling claim 44 to place claims in condition for allowance. Atty requested prior art to prove that claim 26 was not allowable by itself, and Examiner provided the patents listed above. Atty however declined the Examiner's proposal to amend the independent claims. Examiner reconsidered the cited prior art of record Hunter et al (68777005) in light of Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/09 and withdrew assertion of allowability. Examiner hereafter maintains the rejections of claims 26-49 under Hunter et al.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kristie D. Shingles/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)